arXiv:math/0701168v3 [math.NT] 28 May 2007

Spectral expansions of overconvergent modular functions

David Loeffler
Department of Mathematics
Imperial College
South Kensington, London SW7 2AZ, UK
david.loeffler@imperial.ac.uk

February 2, 2008

Abstract

The main result of this paper is an instance of the conjecture made by Gouvêa and Mazur in [GM95], which asserts that for certain values of r the space of r-overconvergent p-adic modular forms of tame level N and weight k should be spanned by the finite slope Hecke eigenforms. For $N = 1$, $p = 2$ and $k = 0$ we show that this follows from the combinatorial approach initiated by Emerton [Eme98] and Smithline [Smi00], using the classical LU decomposition and results of Buzzard–Calegari [BC05]; this implies the conjecture for all $r \in (\frac{5}{12}, \frac{7}{12})$. Similar results follow for $p = 3$ and $p = 5$ with the assumption of a plausible conjecture, which would also imply formulae for the slopes analogous to those of [BC05].

We also show that (for general p and N) the space of weight 0 overconvergent forms carries a natural inner product with respect to which the Hecke action is self-adjoint. When $N = 1$ and $p \in \{2, 3, 5, 7, 13\}$, combining this with the combinatorial methods allows easy computations of the q-expansions of small slope overconvergent eigenfunctions; as an application we calculate the q-expansions of the first 20 eigenfunctions for $p = 5$, extending the data given in [GM95].

1 Background

Let $S_k(\Gamma_1(N))$ denote the space of classical modular cusp forms of weight k and level N. It has long been known that these objects satisfy many interesting congruence relations. One very powerful method for studying the congruences obeyed by modular forms modulo powers of a fixed prime p is to embed this space into the p-adic Banach space $\mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma_1(N), r)$ of r-overconvergent p-adic cusp forms, defined as in [Kat73] using sections of $\omega^{\otimes k}$ on certain affinoid subdomains of $X_1(N)$ obtained by removing discs of radius p^{-r} around the supersingular points; this space has been used to great effect by Coleman and others ([Col96, Col97]).

It is known that there is a Hecke action on $\mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma_1(N), r)$, as with the classical spaces, and these operators are continuous; and moreover, at least for $0 < r < \frac{p}{p+1}$, the Atkin-Lehner operator U is compact. There is a rich spectral theory for

compact operators on p-adic Banach spaces (see [Ser62]), and this is a powerful tool for studying the spaces $\mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma_1(N), r)$. In this paper, we shall attempt to make this spectral theory explicit in the case $N = 1$, $k = 0$, for certain small primes p.

2 A useful basis

In all the computations in this paper, we shall restrict to the case of tame level 1; hence we shall write $\mathcal{S}_k(r)$ for $\mathcal{S}_k(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), r)$, regarded as a Banach space over $\mathbb{C}_p$.

Recall that if ψ is any lifting of the mod p Hasse invariant to a modular form in characteristic 0, and E is any elliptic curve over $\mathbb{C}_p$ such that $|\psi(E)| > p^{-\frac{p}{p+1}}$, then E has a canonical p-subgroup; hence, for $0 < r < \frac{p}{p+1}$, the r-overconvergent locus $X_0(1)_{\geq p^{-r}}$ is isomorphic to a certain subregion of $X_0(p)$. (This is proved in [Kat73], using the theory of the Newton polygon.)

If p is one of the primes 2, 3, 5, 7, or 13, then $X_0(p)$ has genus 0. We shall pick an explicit uniformiser for this curve, and identify in terms of this uniformiser the image of $X_0(1)_{\geq p^{-r}}$ under the canonical subgroup map, and hence obtain a basis for our space $\mathcal{S}_k(r)$.

Theorem 1. *Let p be one of the primes 2, 3, 5, 7, or 13. Let f_p be the function*

$$\left[\frac{\Delta(pz)}{\Delta(z)}\right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}}.$$

Then f_p is a rational function on the modular curve $X_0(p)$, and the forgetful functor gives an isomorphism between the region of the modular curve $X_0(p)$ where $|f_p| \leq 1$ and the ordinary locus $X_0(1)_{\mathrm{ord}}$. Moreover, for any $r \in [0, \frac{p}{p+1})$, this extends to an isomorphism between the region where $|f_p| \leq p^{\frac{12r}{p-1}}$ and $X_0(1)_{\geq p^{-r}}$.

Proof. That f_p is a rational function on $X_0(p)$ is clear from the fact that $\Delta(z)$ and $\Delta(pz)$ are both classical modular forms of weight 12 and level p, and Δ has no zeros on $X_0(p)$. It has a zero of order 1 at $z = \infty$ by inspection of its q-expansion, and no other zeros as Δ does not vanish on the complex upper half-plane; so it is a uniformiser for $X_0(p)$.

It remains to prove that the subsets defined by $|f_p| \leq p^{\frac{12r}{p-1}}$ agree with the r-overconvergent locus as defined in [Kat73] using lifts of the Hasse invariant. For $p = 2$ this is proved in [BC05, §4]; for $p \geq 5$ it is [Smi01, Prop 3.5]. In the remaining case $p = 3$ Smithline uses a different measure of supersingularity and it is not immediately obvious this agrees with the valuation of the Hasse invariant; we show that the two do in fact agree below, in §7. □

Corollary 2. *For any $0 \leq r < \frac{p}{p+1}$, the space $\mathcal{S}_0(r) = \mathcal{S}_0(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), r)$ of r-overconvergent p-adic tame level 1 cuspidal modular functions (modular forms of weight 0) has an orthonormal basis $(cf_p, (cf_p)^2, (cf_p)^3, \dots)$ where c is any element of $\mathbb{C}_p$ with $|c| = p^{\frac{12r}{p-1}}$.*

(This follows as we have given an isomorphism between this space and a p-adic closed disc, and the algebra of rigid-analytic functions on a p-adic closed disc with uniformising parameter x is the Tate algebra $\mathbb{C}_p\langle x\rangle$.)

Theorem 3. *Let U be the Atkin-Lehner operator acting on $\mathcal{S}_0(r)$, and let $u_{ij}^{(r)}$ be the matrix coefficients of U with respect to the basis defined above. Then the following results hold:*

1. $u_{ij}^{(r)} = c^{j-i} u_{ij}^{(0)}$.
2. *There is a $p \times p$ matrix $M^{(r)}$, which is 'skew upper triangular' (that is, $M_{ij}^{(r)} = 0$ if $i + j > p + 1$), with the property that*

$$u_{ij} = \sum_{a,b=1}^{p} M_{ab}^{(r)} u_{i-a,j-b}^{(r)}$$

   for all $i, j > p$.
3. $u_{ij}^{(r)} = 0$ *if $i > pj$ or $j > pi$, so in particular $U(f_p^k)$ is a polynomial in f_p of degree at most pk.*

Proof. Part (1) is an elementary manipulation. Given this, it is clearly sufficient to prove the existence of M when $r = 0$. This result is well-known for $p = 2$, and may be found in Emerton's thesis [Eme98]; it is apparently initially due to Kolberg. The same approach may be used for the other values of p, or alternatively one may deduce the result from [Smi00, Lemma 3.3.2], where it is shown that there is a polynomial $I_p(x, y)$ of degree p in each variable such that $I_p(V(f_p), \frac{1}{f_p}) = 0$, where V is the operator induced by $q \mapsto q^p$. Smithline produces this identity by noting that there exists a polynomial H_p of degree $p + 1$ with integer coefficients such that $\frac{H_p(f_p)}{f_p}$ is the level 1 j-invariant, and thus we have

$$\frac{H_p(p^{-12/(p-1)}/f_p)}{p^{-12/(p-1)}/f_p} = \frac{H_p(V(f_p))}{V(f_p)}$$

since both sides are equal to $V(j)$. Clearing denominators and cancelling the factor $V(f_p) - p^{-12/(p-1)}/f_p$ (which is clearly not identically zero) gives I_p, and it is thus clear that I_p has integer coefficients, total degree $p + 1$, constant coefficient equal to 1 and all linear terms zero. Multiplying by f_p^j, applying U and using "Coleman's trick" — the identity $U(fV(g)) = gU(f)$ — gives the required recurrence, with M_{ab} being the coefficient of $x^a y^b$ in $-I_p(x, y)$. So part (2) of the theorem follows.

Finally, since $U(1) = 1$ and coefficients of the recurrence are polynomials in f_p of degree at most p, it follows by induction that $U(f_p^j)$ must be a polynomial of degree at most pj in f_p; thus $u_{ij} = 0$ if $i > pj$. On the other hand, it is immediate from the q-expansion that if $j > pi$, $U(f_p^j)$ must vanish to degree i at the origin, so $u_{ij} = 0$ in this region as well. □

The polynomials H_p are easy to compute by comparing q-expansions, and hence we can easily determine the polynomials I_p explicitly (they are tabulated in [Smi00, §3.3]) and thus the matrices M. For example, when $p = 2$ we find that

$$M^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 48 & 1 \\ 2^{12} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and when $p = 3$,

$$M^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 270 & 36 & 1 \\ 26244 & 729 & 0 \\ 531441 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Corollary 4. *The operator U is an "operator of rational generation" in Smithline's sense; that is, there exists a rational function $R(x, y)$ whose Taylor series expansion is equal to $\sum_{i,j} u_{ij} X^i Y^j$. The function R is equal to*

$$-\frac{y}{p}\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\log I_p(x, y).$$

3 Computations of slopes

If X is any compact operator acting on a p-adic Banach space, it has a (possibly empty!) countable set of nonzero eigenvalues, for each of which the generalised eigenspace $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{Ker}\left[(U - \lambda_i)^k\right]$ is finite-dimensional. The p-adic valuations of these eigenvalues are known as the *slopes*. The finite slope eigenvalues occur as the inverses of roots of the characteristic power series $\det(I - tX)$.

In our case, it is known that U is compact for $r \in (0, \frac{p}{p+1})$. Given the values of $u_{ij}^{(r)}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq N$, it is easy to calculate the characteristic power series of this $N \times N$ matrix (since the entries are rational); and the general theory of compact operators tells us that this will converge rapidly to the characteristic power series of U. So we can easily calculate approximations to the eigenvalues, and in particular we can determine the slopes. The results obtained will be independent of r, since it is known that any overconvergent U-eigenform of finite slope must extend to a function on $X_0(1)_{\geq p^{-r}}$ for all $r < \frac{p}{p+1}$ (see [Buz03]).

The slopes of U are somewhat mysterious; the complete list of slopes is known only for $p = 2$, tame level 1 and weight 0 by [BC05], and for 2-adic, 3-adic and 5-adic weights near the boundary of weight space by [BK05], [Jac03] and [Kil06] respectively. There are conjectures ([Buz05], [Cla05]) for a general weight, prime and level, but these appear to be rather inaccessible at present.

In the approach of [BC05], the next step would be to attempt to decompose the U operator as $U = ADB$ where A is lower triangular, B is upper triangular, D is diagonal, and both A and B have all diagonal entries 1. If this factorisation exists (which is the case if none of the top left $r \times r$ minors are singular) then it is unique, and can be calculated rapidly by Gaussian elimination; usefully, the i, j entry of each of A, B, D is determined by u_{mn} for $mn \leq \max(i, j)$, so in our case the entries of these matrices are rational and can be calculated exactly using our algorithm for calculating U.

Conjecture 5. *For $p \in \{2, 3, 5\}$ and all r in some open interval containing $\frac{1}{2}$, the U operator acting on $\mathcal{S}_k(r)$ has a factorisation $U^{(r)} = A^{(r)} D B^{(r)}$, where $A^{(r)}$ and $B^{(r)}$ have entries in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$ and are congruent to the identity modulo p,*

and the entries of D are given by the following formulae:

p	D_{ii}	$\nu_p D_{ii}$
2	$\frac{2^{4i+1}(3i)!^2 i!^2}{3\cdot(2i)!^4}$	$1+2\nu_2\left(\frac{(3i)!}{i!}\right)$
3	$\frac{3^{3i}(6i)!(2i)!i!}{2\cdot(3i)!^3}$	$2i+2\nu_3\left(\frac{(2i)!}{i!}\right)$
5	$\frac{5^{2i}(10i)!(3i)!^2 i!}{3\cdot(5i)!^3(2i)!}$	$i+2\nu_5\left(\frac{(3i)!}{i!}\right)$

This is known in the case $p=2$, by [BC05] (for $r=\frac{1}{2}$, but we extend the result to all $r\in(\frac{5}{12},\frac{7}{12})$ below). For $p=3$ and $p=5$ it is open, but a calculation of U_{ij} for $1\le i,j\le 100$ suggests that the conjecture holds for $r\in(\frac{1}{3},\frac{2}{3})$ in both cases. However, the same computation suggests that the entries of A and B are not given by any hypergeometric term (as they are divisible by too many large primes).

If this conjecture is true, then lemma 5 of [BC05] would tell us that the Newton polygon of ADB is the same as that of D, so the ith slope would be equal to the valuation of the ith diagonal entry of D. Indeed, Frank Calegari has conjectured formulae for the slopes for $p=3$ and $p=5$ (cited in [Smi04]), and these agree with those given in the third column above. Furthermore, these formulae also appear to agree with the combinatorial recipe of [Buz05]; but without a concise formula for A_{ij} and B_{ij}, there does not seem to be any chance of proving these results by this method.

For $p=7$ and $p=13$ the pattern is much less clear; there still appears to be an ADB factorisation with A and B congruent to the identity, but the entries of D do not appear to be given by any simple hypergeometric form. It is interesting to note that in these cases, there are several distinct "slope modules" in the conjectural picture of [Cla05], so one would not expect all the slopes to be given by a single simple formula.

4 Computations of eigenfunctions

If M is an $n\times n$ matrix over a p-adic field, then calculating the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of M to any desired degree of accuracy is computationally very easy, as Hensel's lemma allows easy calculation of the eigenvalues. More generally, if M is the matrix of a compact operator and M_n is the $n\times n$ truncation, then one can calculate the eigenvectors of M using M_n: if λ is an eigenvalue of M, and n is sufficiently large compared to the slope of λ, then there will be an eigenvalue λ_n of M_n which is highly congruent to λ, and and as $n\to\infty$, λ_n will converge to λ and the associated eigenvectors v_n will converge to an eigenvector of M.

Let us do this in the case $p=5$ (for comparison with the calculations in [GM95]). We begin by fixing a value of r; in this case, it is convenient to choose $r=\frac{1}{3}$, since in this case we may take $c=p$ and the u_{ij} are all rational. We now take an $N\times N$ truncation of the matrix of U and diagonalise this using the PARI/GP functions `polrootspadic()` and `matker()`; this gives an approximate U-eigenfunction. As it is necessary to divide by entries of the matrix in this computation, the resulting eigenvector is known to slightly less precision than the eigenvalue; but this is not a serious problem as calculating the roots of p-adic

polynomials is computationally very easy – working modulo 5^{300} is no problem on current machines.

If we take $N = 3$, we obtain three eigenvalues of slopes $\sigma_1 = 1$, $\sigma_2 = 4$ and $\sigma_3 = 5$, and three corresponding approximate eigenfunctions ϕ_1, ϕ_2 and ϕ_3. Repeating the calculation for a range of N, it seems that changing N does not change $\phi_1 \bmod 5^8$, so the value obtained for $N = 3$ is apparently already correct to this precision; moreover, taking $N = 4$ is enough to give it mod 5^{10}, and $N = 5$ gives it mod 5^{16}. So the functions obtained appear to be converging very rapidly in the q-expansion topology (or, equivalently, in the supremum norm on $X_0(1)_{\mathrm{ord}}$). The first 30 terms of the q-expansion of the first few ϕ_i is given modulo 5^{15} in §8.

5 Spectral expansions

It is a standard consequence of the spectral theory that for each nonzero eigenvalue λ_i of U, there is a projection π_i onto the corresponding generalised eigenspace, and this projection commutes with U. Since for any $x \geq 0$, the set Λ_x of eigenvalues of slope $\leq x$ is finite, one can form for any $h \in S_k(r)$ the series

$$e_x(h) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_x} \pi_\lambda(h).$$

This is known as the *asymptotic U-spectral expansion of h*. This will not generally converge as $x \to \infty$; but it is uniquely determined by the property that for any x there exists $\epsilon > 0$ with $\nu_p\left(\left\|X^k(h - e_x(h))\right\|\right) \geq (x + \epsilon)k$ for all $k \gg 0$.

For $p = 2, 3, 5$, all the eigenspaces are conjecturally one-dimensional, spanned by eigenfunctions ϕ_i, so we should obtain a sequence of constants $c_i(h) = \pi_i(f)/\phi_i$. In principle, the spectral theory gives an explicit form for the spectral projections π_i. The first projection π_1 is easy, as one simply iterates the process of applying U and dividing by the eigenvalue λ_1. One can then consider $h' = h - \pi_1(h)$ and iterate U on this; the same process of iterating and dividing by λ_2 should converge to the second projection π_2, but this is unstable with regard to small errors in the calculation of $\pi_1(h)$ – such errors will inevitably grow at a rate of $(\lambda_1/\lambda_2)^k$ until they swamp the desired answer. So this method is not really usable in practice.

However, the symmetry properties of U provide us with an alternative approach. Let $g = p^{6/(p-1)}f$, so $(g, g^2, g^3, \dots)$ are a basis for $\mathcal{S}_0(\frac{1}{2})$.

Theorem 6. *Define the symmetric bilinear form $\langle , \rangle$ on $\mathcal{S}_0(\frac{1}{2})$ by*

$$\langle g^i, g^j \rangle = \begin{cases} i & (i = j) \\ 0 & (i \neq j) \end{cases}.$$

Then U is self-adjoint with respect to this form; and for all i such that the λ_i eigenspace is 1-dimensional and $\langle \phi_i, \phi_i \rangle \neq 0$, the spectral projection operators π_i are given by

$$\pi_i(h) = \frac{\langle h, \phi_i \rangle}{\langle \phi_i, \phi_i \rangle}.$$

Furthermore, the same formula in fact gives us a pairing $\mathcal{S}_0(r) \times \mathcal{S}_0(1-r) \to \mathbb{C}_p$ for any $r \in (\frac{1}{p+1}, \frac{p}{p+1})$.

Proof. If $p \in \{2,3,5,7,13\}$, then we can show that U is self-adjoint with respect to this bilinear form by proving that $u_{ij}^{(1/2)} = \frac{j}{i} u_{ji}^{(1/2)}$. This follows from Corollary 4 above; the generating function $R(x,y)$ is $\frac{y}{p}\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\log I_p(x,y)$, and from the construction of I_p we see that it satisfies

$$I_p(x,y) = I_p(p^{-12/(p-1)}y, p^{12/(p-1)}x),$$

so after an appropriate rescaling we see that $x\frac{\partial}{\partial x}R(x,y)$ is symmetric in x and y, implying the result.

However, one can prove this in general – without the assumption that $X_0(p)$ have genus 0 – by using the theory of residues of p-adic differential forms. This theory is developed in [FvdP04]; for a general rigid space X/k we can construct sheaves of finite differentials $\Omega^f_{X/k}$, and the notion of residue of a differential at a point can be defined in a consistent way. Now, if α and β are in $\mathcal{S}_0(\frac{1}{2})$, and w denotes the Atkin-Lehner involution on $X_0(p)$, then the differential

$$w^*(\alpha).\mathrm{d}\beta$$

is defined on the annulus $|A| = p^{-1/2}$ (a "ring domain") and thus has a residue at the cusp ∞. It is readily seen that if we define

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \mathrm{Res}_{z=\infty} w^*(\alpha).\mathrm{d}\beta$$

then this agrees with the above definition when $p \in \{2,3,5,7,13\}$ (it is sufficient to check the result when α and β are powers of f; in this case it is immediate from the fact that $w^*(g) = \frac{1}{g}$.)

Let Φ_1 and Φ_2 be the two canonical maps $X_0(p^2) \to X_0(p)$, namely $\Pi_1 : (E,C) \mapsto (E, C[p])$ and $\Pi_2 : (E,C) \mapsto (E/C[p], C/C[p])$; this gives a symmetric correspondence on $X_0(p)$, and the operator on functions corresponding to the trace of this correspondence is U. So we may write

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle U\alpha, \beta \rangle &= \mathrm{Res}_{\infty \in X_0(p)}\, w^*(U\alpha)\ \mathrm{d}\beta \\
&= \mathrm{Res}_{\infty \in X_0(p)}\, U(w^*\alpha)\ \mathrm{d}\beta \\
&= \mathrm{Res}_{\infty \in X_0(p)}\, \Phi_{2*}\Phi_1^* w^*\alpha\ \mathrm{d}\beta \\
&= p\,\mathrm{Res}_{\infty \in X_0(p^2)}\, \Phi_1^* w^*\alpha\ \mathrm{d}\Phi_2^*\beta \\
&= \mathrm{Res}_{\infty \in X_0(p)}\, w^*\alpha\ d\Phi_{1*}\Phi_2^*\beta \\
&= \langle \alpha, U\beta \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

It now follows that any two eigenfunctions with different eigenvalues must be orthogonal, and the explicit form for the spectral projection operators is immediate. □

(Exactly the same argument also shows that the operators T_ℓ are self-adjoint for $\ell \neq p$.)

This pairing allows us to calculate spectral expansions extremely easily for functions h that are at least $\frac{1}{2}$-overconvergent, given sufficiently accurate knowledge of the eigenfunctions themselves. As in the previous section, we shall take $p = 5$. Then the function $h = \frac{1}{j}$ is r-overconvergent for all $r < \frac{5}{6}$, and the

constants c_j turn out to be:

j	c_j
1	8295001
2	$5^4 \times 7540786$
3	$5^4 \times 2165317$
4	$5^8 \times 8075994$
5	$5^9 \times 4502966$
6	$5^{10} \times 4930721$
7	$5^{12} \times 7120582$
8	$5^{14} \times 7314891$
9	$5^{18} \times 2324226$
10	$5^{22} \times 1076376$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$

Here, as in the tables of eigenfunctions in §8, we use a relative precision of $O(5^{10})$ – that is, we write a general element of $\mathbb{Z}_5$ in the form $5^a b$ where $b \in (\mathbb{Z}/5^{10}\mathbb{Z})^\times$. These numbers appear to be tending 5-adically to zero extremely rapidly, suggesting that the U-spectral expansion is in fact convergent, at least in the (rather feeble) q-expansion topology.

One might optimistically make the following conjecture:

Conjecture 7 (Gouvêa-Mazur spectral expansion conjecture, strong form)**.** *Let h be any r-overconvergent modular function, where $r \in (\frac{1}{p+1}, \frac{p}{p+1})$. Then the spectral expansion of h converges to h, in the supremum norm of $X_0(1)_{\geq p^{-r}}$.*

One cannot expect this to work for $r \leq \frac{1}{p+1}$, for two reasons. Firstly, since the eigenfunctions themselves are not necessarily any more than $\frac{p}{p+1}$-overconvergent, we cannot guarantee that the linear functional $\langle \cdot, \phi_i \rangle$ even makes sense. More seriously, if $r < \frac{1}{p+1}$ then there exist nonzero functions in the kernel of U; the spectral expansion of any such form is always zero.

6 The spectral expansion conjecture

Let us now suppose either that $p = 2$, or that $p = 3$ or 5 and Conjecture 5 above holds. We shall show that this implies the spectral expansion conjecture.

Let $A^{(r)}$ and $B^{(r)}$ be the matrices occurring in the LDU factorisation of $U^{(r)}$. (D is clearly independent of r.)

Lemma 8. *For $p = 2$, Conjecture 5 holds for all $r \in \left(\frac{5}{12}, \frac{7}{12}\right)$; that is, for any r in this range, $A^{(r)}$ and $B^{(r)}$ have entries in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_2}$ and their reductions modulo the maximal ideal are equal to the identity matrix.*

Proof. Since by construction A is lower triangular, B is upper triangular and their diagonal entries are 1, it is sufficient to prove that $A^{(\frac{7}{12})}$ and $B^{(\frac{5}{12})}$ have entries in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_2}$. Conveniently, we may choose c to be an integer power of p in these cases, so the matrices have entries in $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Suppose $2j \geq i > j \geq 0$. Then we shall show the stronger statement that $a_{ij}^{(7/12)}/j = b_{ji}^{(5/12)}/i \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. From [BC05] we know that

$$a_{ij}^{(\frac{7}{12})} = 2^{j-i} a_{ij}^{(\frac{1}{2})} = 2^{j-i} \cdot 6ij \left(\frac{(2j)!}{2^j j!}\right)^2 \left(\frac{2^i i!}{(2i)!}\right)^2 \frac{(2i-1)!}{(i+j)!} \frac{(2j+i-1)!}{(3j)!} \binom{j}{i-j}.$$

The first two bracketed terms are clearly in $\mathbb{Z}_2^\times$, so we can safely ignore them. If we put $i = j + t$, what is left is

$$2^{1-t} \cdot 3ij \left(\frac{(2j+2t-1)!}{(2j+t)!} \right) \left(\frac{(3j+t-1)!}{(3j)!} \right) \binom{j}{t}.$$

If t is odd, we are safe, as the two factorial terms each simplify to products of $t-1$ consecutive integers, and each product contains $\frac{t-1}{2}$ even integers which cancel all the factors of 2 in the denominator. If t is even, then we are in slightly more trouble. The first product always ends on an odd integer so it has $\frac{t}{2} - 1$ even terms, and the second one depends on j; if $3j+1$ is even, we get $\frac{t}{2}$ even factors, but if $(3j+1)$ is odd, then we are one short. However, this occurs only if j is even, and consequently i is even; so $a_{ij}/j \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, as claimed. □

Theorem 9. *Let K be a field complete with respect to a non-archimedean valuation, with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_K$ and maximal ideal $\mathfrak{M}_K$. Let S be the space of sequences over K with entries tending to zero. Then if M is any operator on S given by a matrix of the form ADB where D is diagonal with strictly increasing valuations and A,B have entries in $\mathcal{O}_K$ congruent to the identity modulo $\mathfrak{M}_K$, then we can find a matrix C, also with integral entries congruent to the identity, such that $C^{-1}MC$ is diagonal.*

Proof. The statement is not affected by conjugating M by any matrix congruent to the identity, so we conjugate by B^{-1}, allowing us to assume without loss of generality that $M = AD$. It is known (see [BC05]) that M has the same Newton polygon as D. Hence, for every j there is an eigenvector v_j such that $Mv_j = \mu_j v_j$ with $\frac{\mu_j}{D_{jj}} \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$, and v_j is unique up to scalars. We normalise v_j so it is integral with norm 1.

Suppose $Dv_j = \eta_j w_j$, where w_j has norm 1 and $\eta_j \in K$. Then since $A = \mathrm{Id} \bmod \mathfrak{M}_K$, $\mu_j v_j = ADv_j = \eta_j A w_j$. Comparing norms, we see that $\varepsilon_j = \eta_j^{-1}\mu_j \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$, and reducing mod $\mathfrak{M}_K$ we have $\overline{\varepsilon_j}\ \overline{v_j} = \overline{A}\ \overline{w_j}$. But $\overline{A}$ is the identity, and consequently $\overline{\varepsilon_j}\ \overline{v}_j = \overline{w}_j$. This is impossible unless $\overline{v_j}$ has all its components zero outside the jth.

Now if C is the matrix whose jth column is v_j, then we evidently have $MC = CE$ where E is the diagonal matrix with $E_{ii} = \mu_i$, and since C is congruent to the identity, it is necessarily invertible (since the series $(1+T)^{-1} = 1 - T + T^2 + \ldots$ converges whenever $|T| < 1$). □

Corollary 10 (Spectral expansion theorem)**.** *For any $r \in \left(\frac{5}{12}, \frac{7}{12}\right)$, the finite slope eigenfunctions form an orthonormal basis of the space $\mathcal{S}_0(r)$; that is, for all $h \in \mathcal{S}_0(r)$, the sum*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \pi_i(h)$$

converges to h, and $\|h\| = \sup_i \|\pi_i(h)\|$.

Note in particular that this implies that the kernel of U is zero for all $r > \frac{5}{12}$; it is in fact known that the kernel is zero for $r \geq \frac{1}{p+1}$, by Lemma 6.13 of [BC06].

7 Appendix A: Overconvergent forms at small level

In this appendix, we finish off the proof of Theorem 1 in order to show that the space we work with really is the same as the space of r-overconvergent p-adic modular forms, for each $p \in \{2, 3, 5, 7, 13\}$. Since we work only with weight zero forms, the problem of whether or not the sheaf $\omega^{\otimes k}$ descends does not arise, and hence the problem is reduced to identifying in terms of our chosen uniformiser the region of $X_0(p)$ corresponding to the r-overconvergent locus. For $p \geq 5$, the Hasse invariant lifts to level 1 via the classical level 1 Eisenstein series E_{p-1}, so we can measure overconvergence directly using this form; the argument is given in [Smi01, Prop 3.5]. However, for $p = 2$ and $p = 3$, the Hasse invariant does not lift to characteristic 0 in level 1, so we need to introduce auxiliary level structure. The case $p = 2$ is covered in [BC05, §4], using a weight 1 θ series of level 3 as a Hasse lifting, so we are left with the case $p = 3$. Smithline shows that in this case the region where $|f_3| \leq 3^{6r}$ coincides with the region where $|E_6| \geq 3^{-3r}$, for all $r < \frac{3}{4}$; so we must compare the valuations of E_6 and the Hasse invariant.

Consider the 2-stabilised Eisenstein series $E_2' = 2E_2(2z) - E_2(z)$, which is a modular form of weight 2 and level $\Gamma_0(2)$. Since $E_2(z) \equiv E_2(2z) \equiv 1 \bmod 3$, E_2' is a lift of the mod 3 Hasse invariant. Using our parameter f_2 on $X_0(2)$, we have the identities

$$\frac{E_2'^6}{\Delta} = \frac{(1 + 2^6 f_2)^3}{f_2}$$

and

$$\frac{E_6^2}{\Delta} = \frac{(1 + 2^6 f_2)(1 - 2^9 f_2)^2}{f_2}.$$

The supersingular region corresponds to $|1 + 2^6 f_2| < 1$; in this region $|f_2| = 1$, so if $|1 + 2^6 f_2| > 3^{-2}$, then $|1 + 2^6 f_2| = |1 + 2^6 f_2 - 9.2^6 f_2| = |1 - 2^9 f_2|$. Since supersingular curves have good reduction, $|\Delta| = 1$ also, hence

$$\begin{aligned} |E_2'| \geq 3^{-r} &\iff \left|\frac{E_2'^6}{\Delta}\right| \geq 3^{-6r} \\ &\iff \left|\frac{E_6^2}{\Delta}\right| \geq 3^{-6r} \\ &\iff |E_6| \geq 3^{-3r} \end{aligned}$$

for all $r < 1$, and the result follows.

8 Appendix B: q-expansions of small slope 5-adic eigenfunctions

The following list gives the first 20 terms of the q-expansions of the 20 smallest slope 5-adic eigenforms, with the coefficients given to a relative precision of $O(5^{10})$. This computation took less than 1 minute on a standard laptop PC.

$$\phi_1 = q + 8528631q^2 + 8596652q^3 + 2788848q^4 + 5 \times 610813q^5 + 6727787q^6 + 2747331q^7 + 5 \times 3412617q^8 + 6989312q^9 + 5 \times 4155753q^{10} + 538817q^{11} + 9643146q^{12} + 6371187q^{13} + 5536986q^{14} + 5 \times 9298076q^{15} + 8198461q^{16} + 3226656q^{17} + 5179372q^{18} + 5 \times 9335108q^{19} + 5 \times 7582174q^{20} + O(q^{21})$$

$$\phi_2 = q + 441709q^2 + 2550713q^3 + 4301618q^4 + 5^4 \times 2356503q^5 + 2966642q^6 + 3223594q^7 + 5 \times 9703174q^8 + 7251077q^9 + 5^4 \times 9677377q^{10} + 3828592q^{11} + 5453634q^{12} + 4410268q^{13} + 3763396q^{14} + 5^4 \times 1117889q^{15} + 1692896q^{16} + 2395464q^{17} + 4642468q^{18} + 5 \times 2705229q^{19} + 5^4 \times 8143729q^{20} + O(q^{21})$$

$$\phi_3 = q + 7123391q^2 + 727387q^3 + 8909193q^4 + 5^5 \times 6386403q^5 + 6931192q^6 + 3140781q^7 + 5 \times 2842166q^8 + 3306102q^9 + 5^5 \times 3855698q^{10} + 1486467q^{11} + 1481191q^{12} + 909182q^{13} + 3295871q^{14} + 5^5 \times 5659586q^{15} + 2077746q^{16} + 7148211q^{17} + 2935007q^{18} + 5 \times 6743039q^{19} + 5^5 \times 1590279q^{20} + O(q^{21})$$

$$\phi_4 = q + 2764444q^2 + 5364423q^3 + 7074448q^4 + 5^8 \times 6938782q^5 + 8303937q^6 + 2059419q^7 + 5 \times 5835813q^8 + 6128137q^9 + 5^8 \times 9032833q^{10} + 9024817q^{11} + 9297879q^{12} + 3774838q^{13} + 3966786q^{14} + 5^8 \times 3159036q^{15} + 908886q^{16} + 1286194q^{17} + 2888953q^{18} + 5 \times 3751388q^{19} + 5^8 \times 5567336q^{20} + O(q^{21})$$

$$\phi_5 = q + 5791436q^2 + 3059457q^3 + 3403033q^4 + 5^9 \times 8921438q^5 + 6832127q^6 + 3955981q^7 + 5 \times 3439059q^8 + 6952557q^9 + 5^9 \times 7517468q^{10} + 9760342q^{11} + 7351831q^{12} + 8002297q^{13} + 231841q^{14} + 5^9 \times 79791q^{15} + 4456166q^{16} + 7616646q^{17} + 5698727q^{18} + 5 \times 7110866q^{19} + 5^9 \times 6515204q^{20} + O(q^{21})$$

$$\phi_6 = q + 6831044q^2 + 1698148q^3 + 2950248q^4 + 5^{10} \times 6825297q^5 + 6519012q^6 + 8819044q^7 + 5 \times 5659178q^8 + 8713237q^9 + 5^{10} \times 7635693q^{10} + 4926567q^{11} + 6568829q^{12} + 5335163q^{13} + 6117561q^{14} + 5^{10} \times 3121831q^{15} + 9149661q^{16} + 3456869q^{17} + 7282553q^{18} + 5 \times 82178q^{19} + 5^{10} \times 464281q^{20} + O(q^{21})$$

$$\phi_7 = q + 8461691q^2 + 7744062q^3 + 4618543q^4 + 5^{13} \times 9616002q^5 + 8166342q^6 + 9150156q^7 + 5 \times 7971386q^8 + 1468177q^9 + 5^{13} \times 860632q^{10} + 5105092q^{11} + 4044791q^{12} + 5464782q^{13} + 1658171q^{14} + 5^{13} \times 1617624q^{15} + 6957796q^{16} + 2187611q^{17} + 8154182q^{18} + 5 \times 4201019q^{19} + 5^{13} \times 4662586q^{20} + O(q^{21})$$

$$\phi_8 = q + 9458634q^2 + 1415388q^3 + 310018q^4 + 5^{14} \times 7929152q^5 + 341242q^6$$
$$+ 8941094q^7 + 5 \times 5522594q^8 + 6133252q^9 + 5^{14} \times 1385868q^{10} + 1356842q^{11}$$
$$+ 6694484q^{12} + 1201868q^{13} + 8361846q^{14} + 5^{14} \times 4325351q^{15} + 165471q^{16}$$
$$+ 8543864q^{17} + 8163393q^{18} + 5 \times 8748199q^{19} + 5^{14} \times 6016611q^{20} + O(q^{21})$$

$$\phi_9 = q + 1036606q^2 + 8499877q^3 + 6100798q^4 + 5^{19} \times 9288232q^5 + 7872462q^6$$
$$+ 6770081q^7 + 5 \times 8252407q^8 + 2114087q^9 + 5^{19} \times 4598717q^{10} + 7406442q^{11}$$
$$+ 7211221q^{12} + 9554887q^{13} + 6194461q^{14} + 5^{19} \times 1422464q^{15} + 9065311q^{16}$$
$$+ 5385831q^{17} + 659347q^{18} + 5 \times 9351018q^{19} + 5^{19} \times 2209136q^{20} + O(q^{21})$$

$$\phi_{10} = q + 8935814q^2 + 2184043q^3 + 7194158q^4 + 5^{20} \times 9176128q^5 + 844127q^6$$
$$+ 1292144q^7 + 5 \times 1755091q^8 + 8018557q^9 + 5^{20} \times 1173192q^{10} + 9267217q^{11}$$
$$+ 6670794q^{12} + 8784078q^{13} + 1023341q^{14} + 5^{20} \times 3438004q^{15} + 9735791q^{16}$$
$$+ 7839479q^{17} + 9681648q^{18} + 5 \times 9158266q^{19} + 5^{20} \times 2941474q^{20} + O(q^{21})$$

$$\phi_{11} = q + 8097156q^2 + 5482427q^3 + 4624273q^4 + 5^{21} \times 3090372q^5 + 6130737q^6$$
$$+ 9435206q^7 + 5 \times 3663802q^8 + 7112412q^9 + 5^{21} \times 1525782q^{10} + 9588067q^{11}$$
$$+ 2822446q^{12} + 9371737q^{13} + 4796011q^{14} + 5^{21} \times 4517844q^{15} + 9306236q^{16}$$
$$+ 2578856q^{17} + 6765897q^{18} + 5 \times 5575723q^{19} + 5^{21} \times 1143306q^{20} + O(q^{21})$$

$$\phi_{12} = q + 9675784q^2 + 6753913q^3 + 116218q^4 + 5^{24} \times 8946888q^5 + 8811542q^6$$
$$+ 8069219q^7 + 5 \times 6507279q^8 + 2269902q^9 + 5^{24} \times 1463317q^{10} + 3569092q^{11}$$
$$+ 4386034q^{12} + 8715668q^{13} + 4467696q^{14} + 5^{24} \times 9032119q^{15} + 3147446q^{16}$$
$$+ 1255689q^{17} + 5281293q^{18} + 5 \times 2446659q^{19} + 5^{24} \times 4273334q^{20} + O(q^{21})$$

$$\phi_{13} = q + 852841q^2 + 6464712q^3 + 8669718q^4 + 5^{25} \times 9222513q^5 + 2306167q^6$$
$$+ 7752656q^7 + 5 \times 1741296q^8 + 4498152q^9 + 5^{25} \times 5178183q^{10} + 8249092q^{11}$$
$$+ 3428716q^{12} + 4365957q^{13} + 5551946q^{14} + 5^{25} \times 1789381q^{15} + 4399821q^{16}$$
$$+ 7853311q^{17} + 7277957q^{18} + 5 \times 2773209q^{19} + 5^{25} \times 4930084q^{20} + O(q^{21})$$

$$\phi_{14} = q + 3696344q^2 + 5088573q^3 + 4864773q^4 + 5^{28} \times 7513547q^5 + 4948987q^6$$
$$+ 9082919q^7 + 5 \times 5387723q^8 + 4212787q^9 + 5^{28} \times 7887793q^{10} + 5486817q^{11}$$
$$+ 6445179q^{12} + 264638q^{13} + 9163761q^{14} + 5^{28} \times 9742181q^{15} + 5608361q^{16}$$
$$+ 3782269q^{17} + 5653853q^{18} + 5 \times 2678998q^{19} + 5^{28} \times 1361081q^{20} + O(q^{21})$$

$$\phi_{15} = q + 5997936q^2 + 2852832q^3 + 6767908q^4 + 5^{29} \times 3494278q^5 + 239127q^6$$
$$+ 8242231q^7 + 5 \times 5754659q^8 + 1331682q^9 + 5^{29} \times 8544583q^{10} + 7742217q^{11}$$
$$+ 9202956q^{12} + 5295922q^{13} + 6847716q^{14} + 5^{29} \times 4110921q^{15} + 7441416q^{16}$$
$$+ 6452396q^{17} + 9423977q^{18} + 5 \times 2768516q^{19} + 5^{29} \times 6717924q^{20} + O(q^{21})$$

$$\phi_{16} = q + 7855519q^2 + 4239748q^3 + 3954673q^4 + 5^{30} \times 8731987q^5 + 1047337q^6 + 7593044q^7 + 5 \times 6656568q^8 + 7374337q^9 + 5^{30} \times 2676878q^{10} + 5407692q^{11} + 536154q^{12} + 2961238q^{13} + 6487961q^{14} + 5^{30} \times 8403651q^{15} + 524436q^{16} + 8063044q^{17} + 6134653q^{18} + 5 \times 7095743q^{19} + 5^{30} \times 4787751q^{20} + O(q^{21})$$

$$\phi_{17} = q + 3058366q^2 + 808487q^3 + 3957143q^4 + 5^{35} \times 4332043q^5 + 2667867q^6 + 2677656q^7 + 5 \times 9265831q^8 + 2140627q^9 + 5^{35} \times 8177988q^{10} + 8770592q^{11} + 1797641q^{12} + 6220257q^{13} + 4023221q^{14} + 5^{35} \times 7870816q^{15} + 1693096q^{16} + 9074636q^{17} + 4429232q^{18} + 5 \times 7074024q^{19} + 5^{35} \times 3867524q^{20} + O(q^{21})$$

$$\phi_{18} = q + 4792184q^2 + 9735438q^3 + 3075793q^4 + 5^{36} \times 9618893q^5 + 6310342q^6 + 6556094q^7 + 5 \times 1549289q^8 + 6307052q^9 + 5^{36} \times 7085437q^{10} + 8972592q^{11} + 2599209q^{12} + 5715468q^{13} + 956796q^{14} + 5^{36} \times 5570759q^{15} + 552671q^{16} + 2538389q^{17} + 2900318q^{18} + 5 \times 6364319q^{19} + 5^{36} \times 1100899q^{20} + O(q^{21})$$

$$\phi_{19} = q + 3408581q^2 + 217102q^3 + 1581998q^4 + 5^{39} \times 2535503q^5 + 9752262q^6 + 1937831q^7 + 5 \times 7503797q^8 + 7627362q^9 + 5^{39} \times 6413743q^{10} + 6787817q^{11} + 7664171q^{12} + 3969712q^{13} + 21561q^{14} + 5^{39} \times 3787931q^{15} + 4478661q^{16} + 4153256q^{17} + 4630822q^{18} + 5 \times 6899078q^{19} + 5^{39} \times 8331244q^{20} + O(q^{21})$$

$$\phi_{20} = q + 7376064q^2 + 5111168q^3 + 6655533q^4 + 5^{40} \times 1816457q^5 + 9314002q^6 + 8378394q^7 + 5 \times 6422316q^8 + 8376307q^9 + 5^{40} \times 2303998q^{10} + 9013467q^{11} + 8230044q^{12} + 8742078q^{13} + 48716q^{14} + 5^{40} \times 7907401q^{15} + 463666q^{16} + 6617104q^{17} + 6593773q^{18} + 5 \times 2535366q^{19} + 5^{40} \times 7084706q^{20} + O(q^{21})$$

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Barry Mazur for initially bringing this problem to my attention, and for many helpful conversations while I was working on it; Kevin Buzzard and Frank Calegari, for assistance with the proof of Theorem 9; and finally the anonymous referee, whose suggestions improved the exposition substantially.

References

[BC05] Kevin Buzzard and Frank Calegari. Slopes of overconvergent 2-adic modular forms. *Compos. Math.*, 141(3):591–604, 2005, math/0311364.

[BC06] Kevin Buzzard and Frank Calegari. The 2-adic eigencurve is proper. In *John H. Coates' Sixtieth Birthday*, volume 4 of *Documenta Mathematica Extra Volumes*, pages 211–232. Bielefeld, Germany, 2006, math/0503362.

[BK05] Kevin Buzzard and L. J. P. Kilford. The 2-adic eigencurve at the boundary of weight space. *Compos. Math.*, 141(3):605–619, 2005.

[Buz03] Kevin Buzzard. Analytic continuation of overconvergent eigenforms. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 16(1):29–55 (electronic), 2003.

[Buz05] Kevin Buzzard. Questions about slopes of modular forms. *Astérisque*, 298:1–15, 2005. Automorphic forms. I.

[Cla05] Lisa Clay. *Some Conjectures About the Slopes of Modular Forms*. PhD thesis, Northwestern University, June 2005.

[Col96] Robert F. Coleman. Classical and overconvergent modular forms. *Invent. Math.*, 124(1-3):215–241, 1996.

[Col97] Robert F. Coleman. p-adic Banach spaces and families of modular forms. *Invent. Math.*, 127(3):417–479, 1997.

[Eme98] Matthew Emerton. *2-adic modular forms of minimal slope*. PhD thesis, Harvard University, 1998.

[FvdP04] Jean Fresnel and Marius van der Put. *Rigid analytic geometry and its applications*, volume 218 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2004.

[GM95] Fernando Q Gouvêa and Barry Mazur. Searching for p-adic eigenfunctions. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 2(5):515–536, 1995.

[Jac03] Dan Jacobs. *Slopes of Compact Hecke Operators*. PhD thesis, University of London, 2003.

[Kat73] Nicholas M. Katz. p-adic properties of modular schemes and modular forms. In *Modular functions of one variable, III (Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, Antwerp, 1972)*, pages 69–190. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 350. Springer, Berlin, 1973.

[Kil06] L. J. P Kilford. On the slopes of the U_5 operator acting on overconvergent modular forms. Preprint, submitted to J. Th. Nombres de Bordeaux, 2006, math/0606363.

[Ser62] Jean-Pierre Serre. Endomorphismes complètement continus des espaces de Banach p-adiques. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, 12:69–85, 1962.

[Smi00] Lawren Smithline. *Slopes of p-adic modular forms*. PhD thesis, Harvard University, 2000.

[Smi01] Lawren Smithline. Bounding slopes of p-adic modular forms. Preprint, available from `http://www.math.cornell.edu/~lawren/publications.html`., 2001.

[Smi04] Lawren Smithline. Compact operators with rational generation. In *Number theory*, volume 36 of *CRM Proc. Lecture Notes*, pages 287–294. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.